have been recommended by his Ministry through 'no objection letters'; and

(b) what is the quantity of each imported by each party during the last three years, year-wise, alongwith CIF price of each import and the source of import?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) The names of the bulk drugs and drug intermediates imported on No Objection letters from STC for the last three years are as under:

1983-85

1984-85

1. Chloramphenicol Powder
2. Vitamin P Rutin
3. Dapsone Tech. Grade
4. Vitamin A—Acetate
5. Water Miscible Powder (Vitamin A)
6. Diethylene Diamine

1985-86

1. Acetyle Salicylic Acid (Aspirin)
2. Vitamin A Acetate
3. Vitamin A Alcohol
4. Diethylene Diamine

1986-87

1. Vitamin A Acetate
2. Diethylene Diamine

(b) The details of NOCs issued by State Trading Corporation, during the last three years are as follows:

Sl. No.	Item	1984-85 (MT)	1985-86 (MT)	1986-87 (MT)
1.	Acetyle Salicylic Acid (Aspirin)	..	128 79	..
2	Chloram Poenicol Powder	187.54	..	..
3	Vitamin P (Rutin)	0 47	..	..
4.	Dapsone (Tech. Grade)	1.75	..	..
5.	Vitamin A Acetate	39.54	3 91	0.10
6.	Water Miscible Powder (Vitamin A)	0 10	..	..
7.	Vitamin A Alcohol	..	0 10	..
8.	Diethylene Diamine	95 33	325 92	5 00

The source of import and the CIF price is not intimated by Actual Users to State Trading Corporation.

Recovery under the DPEA

3348. SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of the companies which have been identified for recovery under the Drug Prices Equalisation Account on Gentamycin, Metranidazole, Doxycyline, Rifampicin, Ampicillin Trihydrate;

(b) when was the first notice issued to each company in respect to each drug formulation, when was the information received; and what has been done to the information so received; and

(c) whether the amount due against any of the companies involved have been finanalised; if so, what are the details of amount for which claims made along with final outcome of the recovery proceeding against each of the companies?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (b) A number of companies are involved in formulation of each of the drugs referred to in the Question. The details required in the question are, therefore, voluminous and are not readily available. Time and effort required for collection of these will not be commensurate with the results likely to be achieved.

(c) To the extent information is available, details are given in reply to Unstarred Question 3398 for 24 August, 1987. An amount of Rs. 50 lakhs has so far been paid by M|s. Fulford (India) Limited.

Production of beer and industrial alcohol

3349. SHRI SUNIL KUMAR PATTNAIK: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of the companies manufacturing beer and industrial alcohol in the country Stae-wise and the production capacity *vis-a-vis* present actual production of each unit;

(b) whether the production capacity is fully utilised and if not, what are the reasons therefor;

(c) whether it is a fact that creation of additional capacity or expansion of existing capacity of distillation or brewing of alcoholic drinks is not permitted and if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(d) how many licences have been granted under 100 per cent export along with the namer of the parties?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (d) While distillery-wise details of industrial alcohol alone are not available, the names of the distilleries manufacturing alcohol with distillation capacity of each of them, Statewise, are given in Appendix I. [*See* Appendix CXL III, Annexure No. 126] The information about actual production of each unit is not collected by Department of Chemicals and Petro Chemicals. However, the State-wise actual production of alcohol during the last alcohol year 1985-86 (December 1985—November 1986) is given in the enclosed Statements (*See* below). The names of beer manufacturers Statewise, approved capacity and actual production in 1985 and estimated production in 1986 are given in the enclosed Statement-II (*See* below)

The production capacity in respect of beer is fully utilised. As regards industrial alcohol, distillation capacity is not fully utilised due to various factors like inadequate availability of raw material, shortage of power or coal, labour problems etc., and sometimes due to lack of demand.

As part of the Prohibition Policy, creation of additional capacity or expansion of existing capacity for distillation or brewing of alcoholic drinks is banned except in 100 per cent export oriented cases.

Two parties, namely, M|s. Champagne India Limited of Maharashtra and M|s. Gowthami Agro Industries (P) Limited of Andhra Pradesh have been granted Industrial Licence|Permission Letter for manufacture of sparkling wine|brandy as 100 per cent export oriented units. Three parties namely M|s. Skol Breweries Limited, Bombay, M|s. Usha Balla of New Delhi and M|s. Breweries India (P) Limited, Trivandrum, Kerala have been granted Industrial Licence|Letter of Intent for manufacture of beer for 100 per cent export.